

Oggetto: to Legal Department – Pending Criminal Proceedings and Attached Formal Notice Re: [4- + 7-] Richiesta presentata a Google

Mittente: "RICCARDO GRESTA

Data: 31/03/2026, 15:29

A: removals@google.com, P <studiolegalep >

Subject: to Legal Department – Pending Criminal Proceedings and Attached Formal Notice

Dear Google Team,

thank you for your response dated 30/03/2026.

I refer to the following requests:

- **Google ID 7-363000039995** (submitted from gresta.riccardo@)
- **Google ID 4-1318000040514** (submitted from riccardo, following the instructions provided by your Italian team)

As both requests concern the same matter and the same documentation, I kindly ask that they be **merged into a single case file**.

For clarity, I request that **all future correspondence and formal responses be sent exclusively to my primary email address:** gresta.riccardo@

Reason for this communication

The response provided does not address the essential elements already submitted, nor does it reflect the seriousness of the documentation transmitted, which includes:

- the **official removal** of the original source by East Sussex County Council (ESCC);
- the **illegality ab initio** of the publication (based on a non-judicial Prosecution Summary);
- the **global removal** of all snapshots by the Internet Archive;
- the **removal and de-indexing** carried out by PressReader;
- the **processing of judicial and health-related data** under Articles 9 and 10 GDPR;
- the persistence of **orphaned snippets** with no accessible source;
- a documented **algorithmic Name Collision** affecting multiple members of the same family line;
- a **pending criminal proceeding** before the Public Prosecutor's Office of Pavia;
- the application of **Article 3 GDPR** concerning extraterritorial jurisdiction.

Following consultation with my legal representative, **Avv. P ██████████**, it is necessary that this matter be brought to the attention of your **European Legal Department**.

Documents attached

I hereby transmit:

- **The formal notice (diffida)** drafted by my lawyer (official Italian version – legally binding);
- **A courtesy English translation** (non-binding);
- **ESCC – Information Request (ref. 2 ██████████ 1)**
Official confirmation that the primary source has been removed by East Sussex County Council;
- **ESCC – Subject Access Request (ref. 1 ██████████ 5)**
Official confirmation that the original ESCC article was drafted using a Prosecution Summary (an accusatory document), presented as if it were a final judgment, never notified to the data subject, and concerning a conviction that has been “spent” for over one year under the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974.

The notice contains:

- the complete documentary reconstruction,
- the details of the pending criminal proceedings,
- the list of certified removals,
- and the request for **global de-indexing** pursuant to Articles 5, 10, 16 and 17 GDPR.

Formal request

I respectfully request that you:

- **immediately forward** the attached documentation to your **Legal Department** and to the **European Data Protection Officer** based in Dublin, as they are the only competent bodies for the legal and technical assessment of this matter;
- provide a **formal response** from your Legal Office, rather than from the RTBF operational team;
- ensure that **all communications are addressed exclusively to [gresta.riccardo](mailto:gresta.riccardo@██████████) ██████████**

I remain available for any clarification you may require.

Kind regards,
Riccardo Gresta

cc: **Avv. P ██████████**

Il 30/03/2026 16:52, RICCARDO GRESTA - GOOGLE ha scritto:

Dear Google Team,

Thank you for your detailed message.

Regarding the URL from whatsoninbrighton.net, I would like to provide additional information for your assessment.

1. The article is based on a prosecution summary, not on a final court judgment.

Under UK law, including the *Contempt of Court Act 1981* (strict liability rule), prosecution summaries and non-final materials are not considered public information suitable for permanent publication.

They cannot be treated as definitive or accurate records of a concluded case.

This is reinforced by the *Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974*, which limits the disclosure and long-term processing of non-final or non-validated criminal information.

2. The primary source (East Sussex County Council – ESCC) has permanently removed the original publication.

ESCC, as the original controller, has withdrawn the content and no longer validates or processes the information.

The original page now returns a 404 error, and ESCC has confirmed the removal in official communications.

I am attaching these communications for your reference.

Since the controller has withdrawn the content, the information is no longer considered accurate, necessary, or appropriate for public disclosure.

3. The secondary reproduction is therefore outdated, unverifiable, and not supported by any authoritative source.

The WhatsoninBrighton article is a derivative copy of a withdrawn source.

Once the primary source is removed, the secondary page cannot be verified and no longer reflects information that can be checked or confirmed.

This directly affects accuracy, relevance, and necessity under GDPR and the principles established in *Google Spain (C-131/12)*.

4. Although my name appears in the article, the underlying information is no longer valid or supported by the controller.

The presence of my name alone does not establish public interest when the original authority has removed the content and no longer considers it accurate or appropriate for publication.

The article is not a court judgment, not an official record, and not a verified publication.

It is a secondary reproduction of material that should not have been published in the first place.

5. The Italian authorities have already been formally notified.

In December 2025, I filed a formal complaint with the Italian authorities regarding the unlawful dissemination of non-final and non-verifiable judicial information.

This reinforces the fact that the continued indexing of this secondary article contributes to the spread of information that is currently under formal examination by competent authorities.

6. Legal representation has already been activated.


As you know from my previous communication, this request is part of an ongoing formal process. This message is sent personally by me and precedes the formal notice that may be issued by my lawyer, *Avv. Paolo Pucciarmati*, who is fully authorised to represent me. Should this clarification and the attached documentation be sufficient for the purposes of de-indexing, further legal action will not be necessary. Otherwise, my lawyer will proceed without further notice.


For these reasons, I kindly ask you to reconsider the removal request for this URL.


I remain available for any further information you may need.

Kind regards,

Riccardo Gresta







Il team di Google

Per ulteriori informazioni sulla procedura di rimozione dei contenuti, visiti la pagina g.co/legal.

Allegati:

ESCC - Information request (ref_2 [REDACTED] 1).pdf	135 kB
ESCC - Subject access request (ref_1 [REDACTED] 5).pdf	133 kB
formal notice google - eng-ita.pdf	139 kB